## Case 1:18-cv-03444-MKV Document 278 Filed 10/09/23 Page 1 of 2

## SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP
SUITE 1400
1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-6029
(310) 789-3100
FAX (310) 789-3150

SUITE 5 | 00 | 000 LOUISIANA STREET | HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002-5096 | (7 | 3) 65 | -9366 SUITE 3000 401 UNION STREET SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-2668 (206) 516-3880 32ND FLOOR | 30 | AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS | NEW YORK, NEW YORK | 100 | 9-6023 | (2 | 2) 336-8330

MICHAEL ADAMSON
DIRECT DIAL (310) 789-3187

E-Mail MADAMSON@susmangodfrey.com

October 9, 2023

## **BY ECF**

The Honorable Mary Kay Vyskocil United States District Court, Southern District of New York

Re: PHT Holding I LLC & James Kenney v. PHL Variable Insurance Company, Case No. 1:18-cv-03444-MKV (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Vyskocil:

Pursuant to the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order and Confidentiality Agreement (Dkt. 64), we respectfully seek leave to file in redacted form the following documents:

- Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and Service Award;
- Declaration of Seth Ard in Support of Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees,
   Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and Service Award; and
- Declaration of James Rouse in Support of Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees,
   Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and Service Award.

These documents contain redacted references to documents designated as confidential by PHL and/or material that Plaintiffs are redacting as a matter of precaution so that PHL can try to justify any redactions it may think necessary. PHL has the obligation to justify the sealing request as to those redactions. Dkt. 64 at ¶ 14.

Plaintiffs will file unredacted copies of the documents identified above via ECF using the "Selected Parties" viewing level. Plaintiffs do not believe that any of the documents identified above should remain under seal. *See In re Gen. Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litig.*, No. 14-MD-2543, 2015 WL 4750774, at \*4 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 11, 2015) ("The mere fact that information is subject to a confidentiality agreement between litigants . . . is not a valid basis to overcome the presumption in favor of public access to judicial documents.).

Sincerely,	
/s/ Michael Adamson	
Michael Adamson	

cc: Counsel for PHL via ECF

SO ORDERED	
Dated:	

MARY KAY VYSKOCIL United States District Judge